

**FILED**  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

NOV 10 2021

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK  
BY Tonja Hutchinson  
DEPUTY

The Honorable Douglass A. North

**VERDICT FORM**

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
IN AND FOR KING COUNTY**

LONG

No. 18-2-00001-7 SEA

Plaintiffs,

**VERDICT FORM**

vs.

PHARMACIA LLC, a Delaware limited liability  
corporation, f/k/a Pharmacia Corporation;

Defendant.

**We, the jury, answer the questions submitted by the court as follows:**

**QUESTION 1:** Did the defendant supply a product that was not reasonably safe in construction?

ANSWER: YES  NO

**QUESTION 2:** Did the defendant design a product that was not reasonably safe as designed?

ANSWER: YES  NO

**QUESTION 3:** Did the defendant supply a product that was not reasonably safe because adequate warnings or instructions were not provided with the product?

ANSWER: YES  NO

VERDICT FORM

Page 1

**ORIGINAL**

**QUESTION 4:** Was the defendant negligent in that it supplied a product that was not reasonably safe because adequate warnings or instructions were not provided after the product was manufactured?

ANSWER: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

(If you answered "No" to Question 1 AND Question 2 AND Question 3 AND Question 4, sign and date this verdict form and answer no more questions.

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1 or Question 2 or Question 3 or Question 4, go on to answer Question 5.)

**QUESTION 5:** Was the not reasonably safe condition of the product a proximate cause of injury or damage to any of the following plaintiff(s)?

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

(If you answered "No" in response to all plaintiffs in Question 5, sign and date this verdict form and answer no more questions.

If you answered "Yes" to Question 5 in regard to Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_, go on to Question 6.

If you answered "No" to question 5 in regard to Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_, but answered "Yes" in regard to at least one other plaintiff, go on to Question 7.)

**QUESTION 6:** Did plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_ suffer a loss of consortium due to the injuries to plaintiff Cheryl T. Pritchett?

ANSWER: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

**QUESTION 7:** For those Plaintiff(s) for whom you answered "Yes" in Question 5, was the conduct of Monroe School District a superseding cause of the injury?

ANSWER: YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO X

(If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, sign and date this form and answer no more questions.

If you answered "No" to Question 7, proceed to Question 8.)

**QUESTION 8:** For each plaintiff for whom you answered "Yes" in Questions 5 and 6, what do you find to be the amount of damages to compensate that plaintiff?

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_ \$ 1.5 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 5.0 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 5.0 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 8.0 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 5.5 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 1.5 mil  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 600,000  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$ 35,000

(If you answered yes to Question 2 or Question 3 AND awarded damages on Question 8, answer Question 9, otherwise sign and date this verdict form and answer no more questions.)

**QUESTION 9:** For each plaintiff for whom you awarded damages in Question 8, should punitive damages be awarded against defendant in the case of that plaintiff?

ANSWER: \_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
 YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
 YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
 YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

(If you answered "Yes" in regard to a plaintiff in Question 9, answer Question 10. If you answered "No" for every plaintiff in Question 9, sign and date this Verdict Form and answer no more questions.)

**QUESTION 10:** For each Plaintiff for whom you answered "Yes" in Question 9, what do you find to be the appropriate amount of punitive damages to be awarded?

ANSWER:

	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>
	\$ <u>5mil</u>

DIRECTION: Please sign and date this Verdict Form and notify the bailiff.

11/10/2021  
Date

